

| | | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/997,310 | ZIEGENHORN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Renata McCloud | 2837 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Renata McCloud.

(3) _____.

 (2) Perry Oldham.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02/09, 03/15/2005
Time: 3pm, 4pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

23-26, 38-43 and 45-46.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner indicated that the application would be in condition for allowance if claims 23-26, 38-43, 45 and 46 were cancelled.
 Att. Oldham agreed to cancelled the claims via examiner amendment

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)